DATE:

February 23, 2015

TO:

Cindy Hemphill, Finance Director

FROM:

Jason Sorenson, Asst. Director of Public Works

RE:

Master and Control Lift Station - Change Order No. 2

P#3580 and 3580.1

Change Order #2 between John T. Jones Construction and City of Minot for additional work in the amount of \$23,091.00 has been reviewed by the Consultant engineer, as well as by myself.

A comparison was made based on bid price items for this contract, normal allowable contractor mark up for profit and comparison to other projects in the area for equipment prices as well as installation for comparable type work in the Minot Area.

Cost comparisons for similar types equipment have also been included in the documentation to determine cost reasonableness of this additional work.

I have found the costs submitted by the contractor acceptable and have signed the attached change order.

Change Order

No. <u>2</u>

Project: Master & Control LS	Master & Control LS Owner: City of Minot		wner's Contract No.: 3580	
Contract: Master & Control Lift Station Improvements Contractor: John T. Jones Construction		vements D	Date of Contract: May 6, 2013 Engineer's Project No.: 11.106.0092: Phase I	
		E		
The Contract Documents are n	odified as foll	ows upon execution of	f this Change Order:	
Description:				
See attached Letter of Explanat	on			
Attachment Cit James to				
Attachments (list documents su Letter of Explanation – Change		ge):		
3				
CHANGE IN CONTRACT PRICE:		CHANGE IN CONTRACT TIMES:		
Original Contract Price:		Original Contract Times: Working days Calendar days		
		Substantial complet	ion (date): <u>June 27, 2014</u>	
\$_\$6,885,000.00		Ready for final payment (date): August 8, 2014		
Increase from previously approve	d Change	Increase from previou	sly approved Change Orders	
Orders No. 1 to No. 1:		No. <u>1</u> to No. <u>1</u> :		
		Substantial complet	ion (days):14	
\$\$94.928.25		Ready for final payn	nent (days): 14	
Contract Price prior to this Chang	e Order:	Contract Times prior	o this Change Order	
		Substantial completion (date): _July 11, 2014		
\$ \$6,979,928,25			nent (date): August 22, 2014	
Increase of this Change Order:		Increase of this Chang	e Order	
		Substantial completi		
	\$\$23,091.00		nent (days): 0	
\$\$23,091.00	-			
	hange Order		Il approved Change Orders	
\$\$23,091.00 Contract Price incorporating this (- Change Order:	Contract Times with a		
	- Change Order:	Contract Times with a Substantial completi	Il approved Change Orders: on (date): <u>July 11, 2014</u> nent (date): <u>August 22, 2014</u>	
Contract Price incorporating this (Change Order:	Contract Times with a Substantial completi Ready for final paym	on (date): <u>July 11, 2014</u>	
Contract Price incorporating this (\$_\$7,003,019,25 RECOMMENDED: By:		Contract Times with a Substantial completi Ready for final paym	on (date): <u>July 11, 2014</u> nent (date): <u>August 22, 2014</u>	
Contract Price incorporating this (\$_\$7,003,019,25 RECOMMENDED:	ACCEI	Contract Times with a Substantial completi Ready for final payn	on (date): _July 11, 2014 nent (date): _August 22, 2014 ACCEPTED:	



Water | Transportation | Municipal | Facilities

Letter of Explanation Change Order No. 2

Master and Control Lift Station Improvements

Apex No. 11.106.0092: Phase I City of Minot No. 3580

<u>Item No. 1 – VFD Change:</u> This change is detailed in the attached Proposed Change Order (PCO) 5R. The Contract Documents provided maximum dimensions for the new Variable Frequency Drives (VFD). The shop drawings submitted did not comply with this provision and the supplier indicated that it could not provide equipment to match the maximum dimensions. The Contractor found equipment that would work, at a higher cost that originally bid. The Owner agreed to pay for half of the additional cost, as this was not the fault of the Contractor and beyond their control. Total Additional Cost of this Item: \$11,158.00.

<u>Item No. 2 – Wet Well Grouting:</u> This change is detailed in the attached Proposed Change Order (PCO) 4. The existing concrete structure exhibited several leaks due to ground water. This item provided for chemical grouting of these leaks in an attempt to stop the leaks. Total Additional Cost of this Item: \$17,828.00.

<u>Item No. 3 – Paint Credit:</u> The Contract Documents called for the Contractor to provide touchup paint in each color used on the project. Due to shelf life concerns, the Contractor proposed eliminating the touch up paint with a deduct, which was accepted. Total Reduction in Cost of this Item: \$400.00.

<u>Item No. 4 – Cabinet Credit:</u> Contractor requested a change in the cabinetry from what was specified and offered a deduct, which was accepted. Total Reduction in Cost of this Item: \$495.00.

<u>Item No. 5 – Biorem Credit:</u> The Contract Documents called the odor control vessel color to be white. The Shop Drawings provided indicated that the vessel would be white. When the vessel showed up on site, it was grey. Rather that sand blast and repaint the vessel delivered, the Odor Control supplier (Biorem) offered a deduct, which was accepted. Total Reduction in Cost of this Item: \$2,500.00.

<u>Item No. 6 – Ceiling to Wall Joint Credit:</u> The change is detailed in Request for Information (RFI) 27. The Contract Documents call for the joint between the precast ceiling and walls to be finished with a painted steel plate. The Contractor proposed to caulk this joint in lieu of the steel plate and offered a deduct, which was accepted. Total Reduction in Cost of this Item: \$2,500.00.